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To apply for P2P loan
• Provide: SSN, drivers license, bank account, credit score.
• Provide additional data requested by platform.
• Agree to interest rate assigned by platform.

Investor(s)
• Obtain borrowers’ information both validated and not.
• Obtain interest rate.
• Make lending decision
• Transfer funds

Borrower(s)
• Validate: borrowers’ SSN, drivers license, bank account info, FICO score.
• Collect additional borrower information.
• Provide/compute credit rating for each borrower
• Assign interest rate to each rating
• Administer loan payments

(Fees/commissions)
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P2P Industry: advantages

- A fundamentally lower-cost operating model
- An ability to use public data to (safely) overcome incumbents’ data advantage in scoring risk, potentially going on to achieve better risk-pricing by taking a more agile ‘Big Data’-based approach
- A superior customer (borrower) experience, driven by speed and convenience
- An ability to better absorb and diversify risk by matching the appetite of borrowers and investors for both risk and duration.
P2P Industry: LendingClub

[Graph showing the growth of P2P lending from 2000q1 to 2015q1, comparing "Standard consumer-finance" and "Peer-to-peer" categories.]
What fuels this growth?

• Institutional investor participation
  - Hedge funds
  - Private equity funds
  - 2016: Estimated to be at least 80% of the funded loans

• Technology development
  - “Robo-advisors” in P2P space
    • From small portfolio firms (>20,000) to large institutional lenders (>5,000,000)
    • Lending Club and Prosper have their own robo-advisory platforms

• Securitization
  - In 2013 NYC based Eaglewood Capital closed $53 million deal
  - 2016, more than 60 P2P loan securitization deals were registered.
  - In Q2 of 2017, the deal volume reached $3 billion or 80% year-to-year growth.

• Weak regulatory oversight
P2P vs Credit Card Debt: Marketing Pitch

• P2P credit
  - Term loans
  - (Relatively low) interest rate due to better risk assessment
  - Non-collateralized (unsecured)

• Ideal source of funds to refinance credit card debt
  - Revolving credit
  - Most expensive form of consumer debt
  - Non-collateralized (unsecured)

• About 80% of Lending Club loans are self-reported to be used for refinancing and debt consolidation purposes
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Focus of this study:
LendingClub
LendingClub Credit Ratings (Grades)

• Uses all customer supplied data as well as alternative data (proprietary) to form
  - 8 core categories and 35 sub-categories of borrower credit ratings

• Assigns *progressive loan prices* for each of those categories
  - Fixed prices at any point of time;
  - Macro-economic events and changes in LC credit policies lead to shift in price schedules;
  - Shifts are infrequent.
Core Questions (as stated in the paper)

• LendingClub used alternative data and ML analysis to assess risk
  1. What is the dynamic of using alternative data in risk scoring?
  2. Is LC risk scoring effective/appropriate?
  3. Is it better than traditional FICO scores?
     • Does it capture risk better?
  4. Does it allow better risk pricing?
     • And maybe lead to Cheaper credit for borrowers?
Core Conclusions of This Paper

• LendingClub
  - interest rate spreads are correlated with LendingClub rating grades, and loan performance;
  - the rating LC grades perform well in predicting loan performance over the two years after origination;
  - some LC “subprime” borrowers are slotted into “better” loan grades, which allowed them to get lower priced credit.
• As compared to credit cards (Y-14M)
  - the correlations between the rating grades and FICO scores have declined from about 80 percent (2007) to only about 35 percent (2014–2015),
  - the same risk of default (FICO), consumers pay smaller spreads on loans from LendingClub than from credit card borrowing.
What is the dynamic of using alternative data in risk scoring?

Authors conclusion: LendingClub progressively uses more and more alternative data in their risk assessment of borrowers.
What is the dynamic of using alternative data in risk scoring?
Question

- 1 point change in credit rating vs 1 (or 20) point change in FICO

2007

- Corr = 1

2014

- Corr < 1

Solution: multinomial logit ML fit?
Are LendingClub credit ratings appropriate?

Figure 4A. Probability of being ≥60 DPD Within 24 Months After Origination — For Loans Originated in 2014–2015 With FICO Score <680 Only

Figure 4B. Probability of being ≥60 DPD Within 24 Months After Origination — For Loans Originated in 2014–2015 — By Credit Scores and Rating Grades
Pricing of Loans

- **Authors interpretation:**
  - Lending Club offers more attractive rates given default
- **Alternative interpretation:**
  - LendingClub underprices risk

![Graph showing probability of default](image)
Taking on Excessive Risks?

• Late 2016: Moody's put bonds backed by Prosper originated loans on watch for a downgrade. The reviews for downgrade were prompted by a faster than expected buildup of delinquencies and charge-offs.

• Early 2017: Citigroup, that repackage roughly $1.5bn of Prosper's loans into securities, severed their partnership.

• November 2017: LendingClub announced that the delinquencies and charge-offs in their portfolio exceeds expectations and it would tighten their lending standards.
FICO vs LC Credit Rating

• LendingClub pitch: FICO misclassifies borrowers. We originate loans to customers that look much riskier in terms of FICO score than they really are.

• But…
  • LC loans exhibits higher defaults conditional on FICO score
## LC Loans vs Credit Card Loans: Fair Comparison?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lending Club Loans</th>
<th>Credit Card Loans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Term loans</td>
<td>Revolvers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed amount upfront</td>
<td>Credit limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed repayment schedule</td>
<td>No repayment schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy to detect PD accounts</td>
<td>No repayment for 60 days (\leftrightarrow) 60 days PD if minimum payments are met</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evidence Taken in Totality

• Does alternative data offer incremental information to price/assess risk?
  - …
• Do LC credit rating grades reflect more accurate risk assessment?
  - …
• Can we run a horse race between FICO(s) and LC credit ratings?
  - Table 2
| Credit Spread |  
|---------------------------------|----------------|
| D(Default within 24-Mo After Origination) | 0.2197*** (0.0001) |
| D(2014-15)*D(Default within 24-Mo) | -0.0979*** (0.0001) |
| D(Rating Grade B) | 3.2572*** (0.0001) |
| D(Rating Grade C) | 6.3532*** (0.0001) |
| D(Rating Grade D) | 9.4415*** (0.0001) |
| D(Rating Grade E) | 12.1488*** (0.0001) |
| D(Rating Grade F) | 15.8618*** (0.0001) |
| D(Rating Grade G) | 18.1991*** (0.0001) |
| Adjusted R2 | 93.42% |
| Observation Number(N) | 663,576 |

### Conclusion

0.22 < 1.35

LC grades better predictors of risk
Questions

• Fair game?
  - 4 buckets of FICO scores vs 8 LC credit ratings?
  - Why not use continuous FICO score and its polynomial?

• Predicting prices
  - At any point of time LC credit scored should predict LC loan prices completely
  - Are FICOs and LC credit ratings comparable over time?

• Proper LHS variable?
  - Should we put defaults on LHS?
  - Explore whether LC credit rating predict defaults better than FICOs?
Core Conclusions of This Paper
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  - the rating LC grades perform well in predicting loan performance over the two years after origination;
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Conclusion

• Interesting area of research: we need more fundamental analysis here.
• Would love to see:
  - Discussion how LC credit ratings are related to loan prices
  - More intricate analysis of correlation between FICO and LC credit ratings
  - Are higher defaults indicative of better prices or excessive risk-taking by LC?
  - What predicts risk (defaults) better: horse race between LC credit ratings and FICO scores
    • 4 point FICO buckets vs 35 credit risk sub-categories
• Bigger question for follow up work: what is the effect on borrowers?
Cheap Refinancing
Dear

Getting the money you need through Prosper couldn’t be easier. You’re already pre-approved for a personal loan, and it’s easy, fast and free to apply. Here’s how it works:

1. Choose the amount you need — from $2,000 up to $35,000
   Your monthly payment stays the same with no surprises or hidden fees. Your loan will be fully paid at the end of 3 or 5 years, and you’ll never be penalized for paying it off early.

2. Check your rate before you decide — it won’t affect your credit score
   Simply visit SaveWithProsper.com and enter your Confirmation Number to request your cash. Your low FIXED rate won’t change over the life of your loan. That could save you thousands in interest compared with high-rate credit cards.

3. Get your money in as few as 3 days
   You could have your cash within days, deposited directly into your account. There’s no need to go to a bank and wait weeks for a decision — our investors are ready to help you meet your financial goals when you are.

That’s it! You can use the money to pay off credit cards, make home improvements, or even start a business. Why wait? Request your money today — you’ll be glad you did.

Sincerely,

Chris Lawson
Vice President, Borrower Services

You’re pre-approved
• Save thousands in interest
• Lock in a low FIXED rate
Cheap Refinancing?

- APR by Prosper Rating Range from **5.99% (AA) to 36.0% (HR)** for first-time borrowers…. Loan origination fees vary from **1%-5%** of loan amount…. Between April 1, 2016 and March 31, 2017 the average three-year loan rate presented to other preapproved applicants was **15.2% (18.7% APR)**.