

Screening and Monitoring by Inattentive Corporate Loan Officers

Janet Gao and Joseph Pacelli
Indiana University (Kelley)

Stephen A. Karolyi
Tepper School of Business, Carnegie Mellon University

CFIC 2018

Motivation

- ▶ Fixed loan officer characteristics affect loan allocations and terms – Gao et al. '17; Herpfer '17
 - ▶ Heterogeneity in ability, relationships, or information
- ▶ **This paper:**

Does this vary over time for the same loan officer?
- ▶ **Our idea:**
 - ▶ Loan officers acquire/process private information – Gao et al '17; Herpfer '17
 - ▶ Depends on costly attention and effort
 - ▶ Sharing information within bank reduces human capital – Petersen '17

→ Amplification of attention constraints for one loan officer

Findings

- ▶ Plausibly-exogenous distractions from other events in the loan officer's portfolio lead loan officers to:

Findings

- ▶ Plausibly-exogenous distractions from other events in the loan officer's portfolio lead loan officers to:
- ▶ (*At origination:*)
 - ▶ Make loans with **12 bps** lower spreads and **5 p.p.** more likely to have a negative credit event
 - ▶ No compensation across other loan terms
 - ▶ Incorporate **less soft information** into spreads

Findings

- ▶ Plausibly-exogenous distractions from other events in the loan officer's portfolio lead loan officers to:
 - ▶ *(At origination:)*
 - ▶ Make loans with **12 bps** lower spreads and **5 p.p.** more likely to have a negative credit event
 - ▶ No compensation across other loan terms
 - ▶ Incorporate **less soft information** into spreads
 - ▶ *(In technical default:)*
 - ▶ Reduce borrower investment by **56% less** and subsequent default rates by **1 p.p. less** than other borrowers technical default

Findings

- ▶ Plausibly-exogenous distractions from other events in the loan officer's portfolio lead loan officers to:
 - ▶ *(At origination:)*
 - ▶ Make loans with **12 bps** lower spreads and **5 p.p.** more likely to have a negative credit event
 - ▶ No compensation across other loan terms
 - ▶ Incorporate **less soft information** into spreads
 - ▶ *(In technical default:)*
 - ▶ Reduce borrower investment by **56% less** and subsequent default rates by **1 p.p. less** than other borrowers technical default
- *Distracted loan officers are deficient at screening, pricing, and monitoring their borrowers...*

Implications

- ▶ Loan officer attention is a scarce resource
 - ▶ Banks are, on average, labor-constrained and substitution across loan officers is difficult
 - ▶ Failure to incentivize information sharing within the bank?

Implications

- ▶ Loan officer attention is a scarce resource
 - ▶ Banks are, on average, labor-constrained and substitution across loan officers is difficult
 - ▶ Failure to incentivize information sharing within the bank?
- ▶ Should we care?
 - ▶ Corporate loan market is the largest source of external financing – Roberts '15
 - ▶ Inelastic supply of loan officer human capital means distractions amplify credit cycle
 - ▶ Spillover effects of distracting events on *other* borrowers via loan officer connections

Implications

- ▶ Loan officer attention is a scarce resource
 - ▶ Banks are, on average, labor-constrained and substitution across loan officers is difficult
 - ▶ Failure to incentivize information sharing within the bank?
- ▶ Should we care?
 - ▶ Corporate loan market is the largest source of external financing – Roberts '15
 - ▶ Inelastic supply of loan officer human capital means distractions amplify credit cycle
 - ▶ Spillover effects of distracting events on *other* borrowers via loan officer connections
 - ▶ General inference about limited attention in capital allocation

Data

- ▶ Dealscan
 - ▶ Loan terms, borrower/lender identifiers, bank portfolio size...
- ▶ Compustat
 - ▶ Borrower controls (i.e., *Size, Age, Profitability, Tangibility, M/B, Leverage, Rated*)
- ▶ Loan officers:
 - ▶ Extract signatures from SEC filings (i.e., exhibit attachments to 8-K, 10-K, or 10-Q), cross-validate with LinkedIn
 - ▶ 4,761 loans by 2,285 loan officers (from lead bank only)
 - ▶ >\$7.3 trillion between 1992–2012
 - ▶ Loan officer's portfolio is loans from the same bank to borrowers in officer's primary industry/state

Data

Section 7.13 QUALIFIED CREDIT FACILITY. The parties hereto acknowledge and agree that by virtue of duly authorizing, executing and delivering this Agreement, the Existing Credit Facility shall constitute a Qualified Credit Facility as defined in the Indenture.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

17

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Intercreditor & Agency Agreement to be executed by their respective officers or representatives hereunto duly authorized as of the day and year first above written.

HEXCEL CORPORATION
as Company

By: /s/ Stephen C. Forsyth

Name: Stephen C. Forsyth
Title: Executive Vice President
and Chief Financial Officer

FLEET CAPITAL CORPORATION
as Intercreditor Agent and Security Trustee

By: /s/ Edgar Ezerins

Name: Edgar Ezerins
Title: Senior Vice President

FLEET CAPITAL CORPORATION
as Existing Facility Agent

By: /s/ Edgar Ezerins

Name: Edgar Ezerins
Title: Senior Vice President

HSBC BANK USA
as Joint Collateral Agent

By: /s/ Deirdra N. Ross

Name: Deirdra N. Ross
Title: Assistant Vice President

Borrower
CFO

Loan
Officer

What is distracting loan officers?

- ▶ Goal:

- ▶ Identify events that (i) require significant time and energy from loan officers, and are (ii) relevant to all loan officers, but (iii) are not informative about *other* decisions

What is distracting loan officers?

- ▶ Goal:
 - ▶ Identify events that (i) require significant time and energy from loan officers, and are (ii) relevant to all loan officers, but (iii) are not informative about *other* decisions
- ▶ Our distracting event: *Refinancing*
 - ▶ Pre-scheduled based on loan maturity, so limited information content for *other* portfolio decisions
 - ▶ Time intensive discussions with borrower, syndicate partners, legal team, etc.

What is distracting loan officers?

- ▶ Goal:
 - ▶ Identify events that (i) require significant time and energy from loan officers, and are (ii) relevant to all loan officers, but (iii) are not informative about *other* decisions
- ▶ Our distracting event: *Refinancing*
 - ▶ Pre-scheduled based on loan maturity, so limited information content for *other* portfolio decisions
 - ▶ Time intensive discussions with borrower, syndicate partners, legal team, etc.
- ▶ *Distraction* based on refinancing events taking place *elsewhere* in the loan officer's portfolio

Empirical design

► Baseline tests:

$$Y_{it} = \beta_1 \text{Distraction}_{it} + \beta_2 X_{ibnst} + \beta_3 \text{PortfolioSize}_{it} \\ + u_{b \times t} + u_n + u_s + u_i + e_{ibnst}$$

u_i : control for fixed differences across loan officers

$u_{b \times t}$: control for time-varying bank policies/constraints

u_n : control for fixed borrower industry effects

u_s : control for fixed borrower state effects

Identification concerns

- ▶ Timing of refinancing may not be random
 - ▶ Refinancing depends on past contracting, but it may be difficult to anticipate future attention constraints

Identification concerns

- ▶ Timing of refinancing may not be random
 - ▶ Refinancing depends on past contracting, but it may be difficult to anticipate future attention constraints
- ▶ Loan officers may anticipate and respond to refinancing events
 - ▶ Officers may issue “easy” loans around anticipated refinancing events → biases against finding results

Identification concerns

- ▶ Timing of refinancing may not be random
 - ▶ Refinancing depends on past contracting, but it may be difficult to anticipate future attention constraints
- ▶ Loan officers may anticipate and respond to refinancing events
 - ▶ Officers may issue “easy” loans around anticipated refinancing events → biases against finding results
- ▶ Refinancing activity may be correlated with local or industry conditions
 - ▶ Check if refinancing varies with economic conditions

Identification concerns

- ▶ Timing of refinancing may not be random
 - ▶ Refinancing depends on past contracting, but it may be difficult to anticipate future attention constraints
 - ▶ Loan officers may anticipate and respond to refinancing events
 - ▶ Officers may issue “easy” loans around anticipated refinancing events → biases against finding results
 - ▶ Refinancing activity may be correlated with local or industry conditions
 - ▶ Check if refinancing varies with economic conditions
- *Monitoring tests use RDD with covenant thresholds*

Loan pricing and performance

Dependent variable: *Spread*

Distraction -11.63**
(-2.40)

Firm Controls Yes

Fixed Effects:

Bank × *Year* Yes

Loan officer Yes

Borrower Industry Yes

Borrower State Yes

R² 0.72

Obs. 5,758

- ▶ Distracted loan officers issue loans with **12 bps** lower spreads

Loan pricing and performance

Dependent variable: *CreditEvent*

Distraction 0.0495**
(3.10)

Firm Controls Yes

Fixed Effects:

Bank × *Year* Yes

Loan officer Yes

Borrower Industry Yes

Borrower State Yes

R² 0.55

Obs. 6,514

- ▶ Distracted loan officers issue loans that are **5 p.p.** more likely to have a negative credit event

Loan pricing and performance

Dependent variable: *CreditEvent*

Distraction 0.0495**
(3.10)

Firm Controls Yes

Fixed Effects:

Bank × *Year* Yes

Loan officer Yes

Borrower Industry Yes

Borrower State Yes

R² 0.55

Obs. 6,514

- ▶ Not compensated with ex ante differences in terms...

XS heterogeneity

- ▶ We explore loan officer and bank heterogeneity in the pricing and performance effects of distraction

XS heterogeneity

- ▶ We explore loan officer and bank heterogeneity in the pricing and performance effects of distraction
- ▶ Loan officers are less affected if they are:
 - ▶ *More educated* – have degrees from top 10 institutions
 - ▶ *More mobile* – frequent promotions
 - ▶ *Experienced* – started their career with a reputable lead bank

XS heterogeneity

- ▶ We explore loan officer and bank heterogeneity in the pricing and performance effects of distraction
- ▶ Loan officers are less affected if they are:
 - ▶ *More educated* – have degrees from top 10 institutions
 - ▶ *More mobile* – frequent promotions
 - ▶ *Experienced* – started their career with a reputable lead bank
- ▶ Banks are less effected when banks are:
 - ▶ *Less hierarchical* – no subsidiary/parent companies
 - ▶ More likely to have *substitute* loan officers (i.e., same geographic/industry focus as the distracted officer)

Monitoring

- ▶ Control is transferred to lenders when borrowers breach a covenant (e.g., financial covenant threshold)
 - ▶ Lenders reduce borrower investment with control – Chava & Roberts '08

Monitoring

- ▶ Control is transferred to lenders when borrowers breach a covenant (e.g., financial covenant threshold)
 - ▶ Lenders reduce borrower investment with control – Chava & Roberts '08

- ▶ Do distracted loan officers monitor less efficiently?

$$Y_{jt} = \beta_1 \text{Distraction}_{it} + \beta_2 \text{Violation}_{jt} + \beta_3 \text{Distraction}_{it} \times \text{Violation}_{jt} \\ + f(\text{Slack}_{jt}) + u_n + u_s + u_i + e_{ibnst}$$

- ▶ Y is contemporaneous investment or incidence of future credit events
- ▶ Expect $\beta_3 > 0$ if distraction reduces monitoring effects

Monitoring

Dependent variable: <i>Investment</i>	
<i>Distraction</i>	-0.001 (-0.77)
<i>Violation</i>	-0.011*** (-8.22)
<i>Distraction</i> × <i>Violation</i>	0.006** (4.31)
<i>Controls</i>	Yes
R ²	0.42
Obs.	38,717

- ▶ Borrowers with a violation reduce investment *half* as much with a distracted loan officer!

Monitoring

Dependent variable: <i>Default</i> (3yrs)	
<i>Distraction</i>	-0.001 (-49)
<i>Violation</i>	-0.004 (-1.55)
<i>Distraction</i> × <i>Violation</i>	0.010*** (3.63)
<i>Controls</i>	Yes
R ²	0.42
Obs.	40,004

- ▶ Borrowers with a violation are **1 p.p.** more likely to default in the next 3 years

Summary

- ▶ Little attention paid to inattention in the context of decision-making inside the firm
 - ▶ Capital allocation and monitoring
- ▶ Distracting events affect loan officer performance in information-driven tasks of screening and pricing new loans and monitoring existing borrowers
 - ▶ XS evidence suggest that innate ability and bank organizational structure mediate these effects
- ▶ Even sophisticated decision-makers are impacted by inattention, may generalize to other contexts where discrete decisions are less observable, e.g., CEOs, divisional managers, etc.

Thank you!

